

1 MICHAEL P. STONE, SBN 91142
2 MUNA BUSAILAH, members of
3 STONE BUSAILAH, LLP
4 A Partnership of Professional Law Corporation
5 200 East Del Mar Boulevard, Suite 350
6 Pasadena, California 91105
7 Telephone: (626) 683-5600
8 Facsimile: (626) 67305656
9 Email: m.stone@police-defense .com

10 Attorneys for Jay Jette and Eric Rosoff
11 Real Parties In Interest

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

WILLIAM TAYLOR,

Plaintiff,

vs.

BURBANK POLICE DEPARTMENT;
CITY OF BURBANK; AND DOES 1
THROUGH 100, INCLUSIVE,

Defendants.

JAY JETTE and ERIC ROSOFF,

Real Parties In Interest

Case No. BC 422252

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PROTECTIVE ORDER SEALING
PLAINTIFF WILLIAM TAYLOR'S
MOTIONS FOR DISCOVERY OF
PEACE OFFICER PERSONNEL AND
OTHER RECORDS REGARDING
BURBANK POLICE DEPARTMENT
LIEUTENANTS JAY JETTE AND
ERIC ROSOFF

Date: October 15, 2010

Time: 8:30 a.m.

Dept.: 50

Honorable John Shepard Wiley, Jr.

On October 15, 2010, the Motion of Real Parties in Interest Jay Jette and Eric Rosoff for a Protective Order came on for hearing. All appearances are noted in the record.

After reviewing the parties briefing thereon and plaintiff's proposed *Evidence Code* § 1043 (*Pitchess*) motions lodged under seal, the Court hereby finds as follows:

- 1 -

[PROPOSED] ORDER FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER SEALING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR DISCOVERY OF PEACE OFFICER PERSONNEL AND OTHER RECORDS

a/22

1 1. Plaintiff's proposed *Evidence Code* § 1043 (Pitchess) motions, if filed publicly,
2 would purport to disclose extensive police officer personnel information that is required to be
3 kept confidential pursuant to *Penal Code* § 832.7.

4 2. Such disclosure would violate the rights of the affected officers, real parties in
5 interest and moving parties Jay Jette and Eric Rosoff, and cause them significant annoyance and
6 embarrassment, stigmatization and oppression.

7 3. Due to the discussion of purported confidential police officer personnel
8 information, it is reasonable to expect that opposition and reply papers will also need to address
9 such assertions to address the issues before in the *Evidence Code* § 1043 (Pitchess) motions.

10 4. As police personnel information is required to be kept confidential as a matter of
11 law, the Court is not required to make any further findings pursuant to *California Rules of Court*
12 2.550 and 2.551.

13
14 Wherefore, the Court hereby ORDERS that:

15 1. The *Evidence Code* § 1043 (Pitchess) motions lodged with the Court under seal are
16 hereby ordered filed under seal.

17 2. All Opposition and Reply briefs and supporting papers are ordered to be filed
18 under seal. Each caption page shall say in bold print "FILED UNDER SEAL PURSUANT TO
19 COURT ORDER DATED _____."

20 IT IS SO ORDERED

21
22 Dated this ____ day of October, 2010 _____

Hon. John Shepard Wiley, Jr.
Judge of the Superior Court